

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/889,326	07/11/2001	Gerhard Hartwich	PATKRIPO2AUS	2316
20210 7	590 09/23/2002			
DAVIS & BUJOLD, P.L.L.C. 500 NORTH COMMERCIAL STREET FOURTH FLOOR			EXAMINER	
			CHAKRABARTI, ARUN K	
MANCHESTER, NH 03101			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1634	
			DATE MAILED: 09/23/2002	ľΟ

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

- 1		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/889,326	HARTWICH, GERHARD			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Arun Chakrabarti	1634			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM						
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)□						
2a)☐	,	is action is non-final.	n proposition on to the morito is			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-55</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
-	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
•	Claim(s) <u>1-55</u> are subject to restriction and/or on Paners	election requirement.				
Application Papers						
<ul><li>9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.</li><li>10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.</li></ul>						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
<ul> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
2) 🔲 Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ce and Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Info	nmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  brmal Patent Application (PTO-152)  led Action .			

Application/Control Number: 09/889,326

Art Unit: 1634

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CAR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-20, drawn to modified nucleic acids.

Group II, claim(s) 21-28, drawn to method of making modified nucleic acids.

Group III, claim(s) 29-43, drawn to a modified conductive surface.

Group IV, claim(s) 44-47, drawn to method of making modified conductive surface.

Group V, claim(s) 48-55, drawn to method of nucleic acid hybridization.

2. The inventions listed as Groups I-V do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: Meade et al. (U.S.Patent 5,770,369A) (June 23, 1998) teach all elements of claim 1 of Group I. Meade et al teach a nucleic acid oligomer modified by covalently attaching a redox-active moiety, characterized in that the redox-active moiety comprises one or more electron-donor molecules and one or more electron-acceptor

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/889,326

Art Unit: 1634

molecules (Abstract and Examples and Claims1-27). Therefore, under PCT Rule 13.2, claims belonging to Groups II-V lack the same or corresponding special technical features.

3. A telephone call was made to Michael Bujold on September 9, 2002 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CAR 1.143).

## Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Arun Chakrabarti, Ph.D., whose telephone number is (703) 306-5818. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00 AM-4:30 PM from Monday to Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Jones, can be reached on (703) 308-1152. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 305-7401.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group analyst Chantae Dessau whose telephone number is (703) 605-1237.

Arun Chakrabarti,

Patent Examiner,

September 10, 2002

Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 1600